## REEL IT IN By Deepanjana Pal

Published in <a href="http://colabandmore.wordpress.com">http://colabandmore.wordpress.com</a> in May 2010

I was warned about Ashish Avikunthak's video work before I entered "Vakratunda Swaha". I was told I'd be checking my watch to see how much of the 22-minute tour deforce was left within about 2 minutes of it starting.

I didn't check my watch even once.

There are a hundred things that came to mind while I was at the show and I know I won'table to remember all of them or even articulate them. If there was ever a post that neededa "CUT THE CRAP", it's probably going to be this one. This post will end up beingchaotic because what I can articulate isn't likely to be particularly coherent. Which is a)fine because this is a blog post, not an article, and b) fitting considering how thetraditional notion of coherence doesn't quite apply to Avikunthak's cinematic works. Hisshow is, however, the first time that I've missed and regretted not having my little cornerof mainstream media any more because "Vakratunda Swaha" is demanding. Perhaps ifviewers had some idea of what struck a chord with one person, they'd be more open tolooking out for the ideas and emotions that resonate in the works. But I'd have tostructure an article out of my random thoughts and stick to a word count. On secondthoughts, I don't miss or regret anything.

"Vakratunda Swaha" is made up of a set of stills and two pieces titled "Et cetera" and "Vakratunda Swaha". The gallery has been divided into two black squares, separated from one another by a flimsy black wall. One of them has the photographs and "Etcetera" while the other is the screening theatre. The photographs are gorgeous and despite the fact that they're from the second short film, they give nothing away. Their eeriebeauty just made me curious to see how such a wide range of visual textures are woveninto one work.

Avikunthak likes to call himself a film artist, rather than a video artist. Part of this comesfrom the fact that he shoots in 35mm film rather than video and also because underneath his rather epic moustache is a rather contemptuous sneer for video practitioners. His chiefproblem with most video artists is that they're not particularly good with the technical pects of filmmaking. No such issues with Avikunthak's work. I loved Avikunthak's imagery. It's poetic, without being clichéd or maudlin, and a delicious mix of mysterious abstraction and fathomable logic. He's not interested in presenting an obvious narrativethat progresses in baby steps. The moments he places next to one another are connected by a curious tangle of the filmmaker's internal logic and ideas plucked from the shared culture of rituals and social constructs.

The four parts of "Et cetera" (brace yourself and sit on the floor, because it's 32 minutes long) may seem random but they are connected (by the act of walking, at a very basic

1 of 3 10/2/15, 5:49 PM

level). The chapter that stayed with me most vividly is "Soliloquy". It opens with thecamera looking at a landscape. There are hills in the distance, some shrubbery and dry,reddish earth. A man enters the frame. We see him from behind. He's naked, but forbright red socks and black shoes. He starts walking and he keeps walking, winding hisway around this landscape that looks weirdly unchanging even though the man isn'twalking around in circles. At one point, the camera stops following him. The man jumpsacross a little gap and keeps walking ahead. After a bit, the naked figure, complete withhis red socks, has blended into the landscape. The sense of solitude, vulnerability,intimacy, honesty and privacy that comes with the idea of a soliloquy was shown throughthe unselfconscious nudity. Yet, it's not just an everyman's body. He's distinctive: he's gotred socks and shoes, for Chrissake. And he walks, like a journeying hero or a confusedmadman or a lost soul. And just when you think he's unusual for being naked and headingfor the hills, he blends into his landscape. He's no longer solitary but part of a largerpicture because of the camera's perspective. Distance brings with it an objectivity thatmakes the individual disappear and the internal monologue suddenly feels less personal. The walker is no longer one person but rather part of a social landscape and theirsoliloquy expresses the barrenness of the world to which the walker belongs. Whileleaving the show, I kept thinking of Girish Dahiwale, who appears in "Vakratunda Swaha", and remembering the naked figure walking off into the distance. Bleak, bleakerand heartbreakingly bleakest.

Even though I actually remember almost every minute of "Vakratunda Swaha", I'm notgoing to recap it. Because that's just going to make me feel miserable about mydescriptive skills. In "Vakratunda Swaha", Avikunthak's grief and shock at his friend's suicide is spliced with mythology, ritual and an eeriness that David Lynch would adore.

At the heart of the film is the memory of artist Girish Dahiwale, who committed suicideabout a year after Avikunthak shot the opening segment of the short film, and the Hindugod Ganesh. There's such bitter irony in seeing Dahiwale immersing a little Ganapati atChowpatty. A man so close to suicide is holding in his arms the god who is known as theremover of obstacles. Ganesh, through whom Vedavyasa told the epic Mahabharata, isseen alongside the man who has become the medium for Avikunthak's tale. Ganesh, theimperfect god made up of a far-from-ideal body and mismatched head, and the good-looking young Dahiwale. Ganesh, whose idol is carried around the city by passionatedevotees for visarjan, which is a temporary death and a long-cherished ritual that loopsand returns each year. And Dahiwale, whose corpse would be carried a year later bythose who were his friends and family, continuing yet another ritual that has persisted.

Ideas swarm "Vakratunda Swaha". Gas masks weirdly mirror the god's elephant head andthose who wear it walk and act boldly, expressing their grief instead of being consumedby it. It's as though the masks protecting the wearer from death, its toxicity and its abilityto render someone incapable. The ritual of tonsuring appears repeatedly. It's a mark ofone having survived somebody's death. It's also a mark of a new life, without the one whohas died or as a Brahmin in case of those who have their heads shaved for the

2 of 3 10/2/15, 5:49 PM

thread ceremony. Avikunthak had his head shaved three times over the course of some seven-odd years in order to make "Vakratunda Swaha". You decide whether the ritual becomes a performance or if the performance is a ritual in the film. The contrast between seeingtonsuring or walking in "Et cetera" and "Vakratunda Swaha" is striking. In the first,there's a comfortable, impersonal objectivity. The same acts are trussed with emotions in "Vakratunda Swaha" and ultimately seem cathartic (or maybe I'm just a hopelessoptimist). Hope is destroyed and then pieced together. The film often moves ahead byshowing scenes where everything moves backwards. There's something blessedly hopefulin the little miracles, like shattered fragments coming together to create a Ganapati idolthat drops into the gas-mask wearing Avikunthak's hands from the heavens. It's worth remembering that Ganesh is the bestower of wisdom in Hindu mythology.

As I left the gallery, I carried the image of Dahiwale with me: standing with the seaswirling at his waist, rain flattening his long hair against his back, a little Ganapati idol inhis arms. Does he look at the idol with fond indulgence? Is that bemusement pulling athis lips because even though Dahiwale is about to drown the elephant, it's just atemporary death until next year, when the idols again return in technicolour gusto? Nextyear when Dahiwale would be contemplating suicide or already dead. And yet, his death,like Ganesh's, is impermanent. Aside from the annual festival dedicated to him, Hindumyths say Ganesh was killed and brought back to life. In some ways, Dahiwale is similar. Since he committed suicide in September 1998, Dahiwale has appeared in works by Riyas Komu, Atul Dodiya and now Avikunthak. A real memory and, like gods, alivethrough romanticised representation.

Avikunthak is clearly not interested in straightforward narratives but in a curious, dream-logic way, I think there is a tangible story in "Vakratunda Swaha". It's about a god whodrowned only to reappear out of the water, a man who died and a friend who pacedthrough his memories in an effort to understand art and death.

CUT THE CRAP: Awesome. But be patient and make sure you have at least an hour inhand

3 of 3 10/2/15, 5:49 PM